

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F                     | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/674,773      | 10/674,773 09/30/2003 |            | Victor C. Li         | UOM 0286 PUS        | 4443             |
| 22045           | 7590                  | 09/26/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| BROOKS I        |                       |            | MARCANTONI, PAUL D   |                     |                  |
| TWENTY-S        |                       | =          | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| SOUTHFIE        | LD, MI                | 48075      | 1755                 |                     |                  |

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 10/674,773   | LI ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | Paul Marcantoni  | 1755  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the d  | correspondence add  | ress                                   |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>  | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a citice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replied | f Appeal. To avoid at<br>ffidavit, or other evide<br>compliance with 37 ( | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or           |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO      |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a<br>and the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>atutory period for reply originally set in the              | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)                     | on fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b) |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS   | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)  | ), to avoid dismissal o   | of the appeal.                         |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or   | onsideration and/or search (see NO<br>ow);<br>tter form for appeal by materially re  | TE below);<br>educing or simplifying                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | vill be entered and an  | explanation of                         |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   | ·  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).                                   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered by</li> </ul>   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| See Continuation Sheet.  | •  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | (P10/SB/08 or P10-1449) Paper  | Part Mark   | <i>-</i>                               |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Paul Marcantoni   |  |  |  |  |  |

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1755

#### **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is ncessary and was not earlier presented.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons set forth above, in the final rejection, and the attached response.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,773

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant's arguments filed 8/31/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

## Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection:

The Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection has been withdrawn because applicants submission of a proper terminal disclaimer.

## 35 USC 103 Rejection:

This rejection remains as stated in the final rejection for the reasons set forth in the final rejection. The applicants' arguments were considered but were not convincing.

#### 35 USC 112 Second Paragraph:

The applicants attempted to correct these issues with respect to claim 1 by inclusion of the limitation "other than calcium aluminate cement" because the cement fraction could potentially be the same as the inorganic Non-Newtonian additive.

However, the inclusion of this new limitation in claim 1 is a new issue requiring further consideration and search.

The examiner gratefully appreciates the thorough and well written response in this application to arguments and especially relating to defining the meaning of the alleged indefinite terms. The rejection over "associative thickener" will be withdrawn as will "high density polyethylene fibers". Applicants clearly define the meanings of these terms for the record. Also, it appears applicants inadvertently deleted high when only modulus should have been deleted in claim 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,773 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755